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1. Call to Order

Executive Vice Chancellor/Provost Alley called the meeting to order at 10:00 a.m. in KL 232. He welcomed the newest committee member: Marco Zivanovic, who will serve as the Undergraduate Student Representative.
2. **Approve July 2011 Meeting Minutes**

The September 2011 meeting minutes were approved as written.

3. **Naming Items**

Principal Planner Cummings from Physical Planning Design and Construction (PPD&C) distributed a handout with background information and proposed language for the delegation of authority, membership, nomination, appointment, terms and staffing of the Naming Sub-Committee. Members of CPPC requested a change in language under Delegation to say that “the chair of the Naming Subcommittee shall provide a recommendation of facility naming to the Campus Physical Planning Committee as directed”. Members of CPPC asked that a student member be added to membership of the Naming Sub-Committee. CPPC members pointed out that the Subcommittee would forward recommendations to CPPC and that CPPC is advisory to the Chancellor. A request was made to republish the Summary and bring it back to the committee for a final review.

4. **Student Services Building (SSB) Update**

Michael Chow, Director of Design for PPD&C provided an update on the SSB project for the committee.

- the project schedule calls for the SSB to open in two phases
- the one-story classroom pavilion would open in time to teach classes for Fall 2013
- the remaining program in a two-story larger building would open the next semester (January 2014)
- the Design Development drawing set and specs are circulating for final review
- can expect the project to go out-to-bid using multiple bid packages beginning February/March 2012
- groundbreaking could take place as soon as April 2012, depending upon final approvals and funding

5. **Green House Site Selection**

CPPC was provided with a handout for the site selection of campus research greenhouse facilities. Presented by Thomas Lollini, there was discussion of the catalyst: the potential for new faculty and research programs that would require such facilities. Consideration was give to the likely scope of work, such as a future 10,000 square foot research greenhouse laboratory to be used for controlled experiments. Project requirements such as access to sunlight, building orientation, utilities, safety, and fume hoods were discussed. A timeline of 2016 and a budget that could range from $3 to $10 million depending upon size, location and fit-out were discussed. Examples of other research green houses in the central valley were referenced. Four sites were considered, including three sites on campus and one site at UC Merced facilities at Castle in Atwater, CA. Consideration of the campus Long Range Development Plan was taken into account. The evaluation criterion includes items such as: program affinity; land use; access; optimum utilization of site and existing infrastructure. Options were rated in terms of being “poor”, “fair” or “best.” Site A is located across from the Social Sciences and Management Building and across the LeGrand Canal. Site B is located in the site near planned North Bowl Parking Lot, Phase 2. Site C is located next to the 1 MW solar array. Site D is a location at the Castle Commerce Center in Atwater adjacent to other UC Merced research facilities.
Pros and Cons of each site were discussed. A question arose about the possibility of placing green houses on top of buildings. Lollini responded that this could be achieved but it would require careful planning and there could be a cost premium for additional structural elements. To retrofit an existing building that was not planned for such a use could be problematic and expensive.

There was a comment that it would be important not to displace student recreation areas with green houses.

This site item was informational and PPD&C was asked to return to the committee with recommended action for site approval that could include more than one site at a future CPPC meeting.

5. **Corporation Yard Relocation Action**

PPD&C presented an item to approve an interim relocation of the campus corporation yard. The site identified for the Student Services Building is currently being used by Facilities Management for storage. This project would temporarily relocate Facilities Management activities to an interim site in the South Bowl adjacent to the staging area for Science and Engineering 2. As described in a handout, the activities in the interim corporation yard would temporary until a permanent corporation yard is constructed as part of the Site Development and Infrastructure, Phase 4 project.

Tom Atkins commented that the proposed corporation yard sites might not work unless a bridge was constructed over the Fairfield Canal nearby. An extended discussion about interim versus long term use of the Corporation Yard took place. A comment was made that the current drawings for the State-funded Phase 4 project identifies the permanent location for the corporation yard and it is undergoing review by the Office of the President for submittal to the State for funding.

Michael Chow asked if another location could be identified that would work for UCOP and still be able to get approval from UCOP and the Department of Finance. PPDC and Facilities Management agreed to revisit the site issue and return with an update at the next CPPC meeting.

6. **Construction Project Updates**

Thomas Lollini briefly updated the committee on the status of several projects in design or construction.

**Student Housing Phase 4** (365 built beds) - Based on the most current cost estimates, it is expected that the bids for Housing 4 could exceed the available budget and efforts are underway to minimize this risk. This is in part due to the cost of materials and availability of specialty trades/bidders. Various contingencies for possible re-bidding of work if needed are under discussion. Housing 4 bids are due in January 2012. The project has a planned completion date of August 2013.

**Recreation Center North** – This design-build project is still in construction. It is expected that the ground floor of the facility will still be completed for Fall 2012.

**Science and Engineering 2 Building** – The project is out to bid. Bidding will occur during December 2011 and the first bid results should be known in late December 2011. The project schedule completion date is still Fall 2014.
Student Services Building – the pavilion to be completed Fall 2013 and the two-story building to be completed during January 2014.

Site Development and Infrastructure, Phase 6 – is planned to be completed during March 2012.

Site Development and Infrastructure, Phase 4 – is planned to be begin construction before the end of 2012.

7. Other Business

The information item regarding the campus-wide Administrative Space – Self Assessment was deferred to the next meeting. There was a request to discuss parking strategies at a future CPPC meeting.

Approved by CPPC on January 19, 2012
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