Members Present:
Keith E. Alley, Executive Vice Chancellor and Provost; (Co-Chair)
Mary E. Miller, Vice Chancellor – Administration; (Co-Chair)
Tom Atkins, Assistant Vice Chancellor – Facilities Management
Mark S. Aldenderfer, Dean – School of Social Sciences, Humanities & Arts (Deans’ Representative)
Evan Heit, Professor - School of Social Sciences, Humanities & Arts, (Academic Senate Representative)
Anne Kelley, Professor - School of Natural Science, (Academic Senate Representative)
Jane Fiori Lawrence, Vice Chancellor - Student Affairs
Thomas Lollini, Associate Vice Chancellor - Physical Planning, Design & Construction
Jan Mendenhall, Associate Vice Chancellor of Development, University Relations
Oliver Nandkishore (Staff Assembly Representative)
Samuel Traina, Vice Chancellor – Research
John O. White, Assistant Vice Chancellor – Capital Development

Staff Present:
Michael Chow, Senior Project Director - Physical Planning, Design & Construction
Richard Cummings, Principal Planner - Physical Planning, Design & Construction
Brian Gresham, Assistant Director - Capital Planning and Space Management

Others Present:
Charles Nies, Associate Vice Chancellor – Student Affairs
Tibor Toth, Director of Campus Services – Facilities Management

Call to Order

Executive Vice Chancellor/Provost Alley called the meeting to order in KL 232 at 1:00pm.

Approve March 2011 Meeting Minutes

The March 2011 minutes were approved as written.

Naming Policy Items

At the March 2011 CPPC meeting, the CPPC approved a policy for naming, however, the subcommittee prescribed in the approved policy had not yet convened, therefore Associate Vice Chancellor Mendenhall brought a naming item before the CPPC for consideration.
Mendenhall outlined a potential naming opportunity for a memorial site located down by Little Lake, noting the matter was time sensitive as discussions had been ongoing regarding this naming opportunity for some time and that these discussions predated the CPPC naming policy approval.

Vice Chancellor Miller noted that, based on the fact that University Relations had been in discussion with this potential donor before CPPC approved the naming policy, this specific naming consideration would represent a one-time exception to policy.

Professor Heit inquired as to what specifically would be constructed at the memorial site location. Associate Vice Chancellor Lollini responded that it was expected the gift would allow for a walkway down to the site where a memorial bench would be located.

Alley noted concern regarding naming a memorial site on behalf of someone who has not formally been a part of the UC Merced community. Lollini also expressed some concern regarding the lack of clarity of the specific language to be used on the plaque.

Vice Chancellor Lawrence moved for approval of the site and naming opportunity as submitted and the motion was seconded by Miller. The motion was approved with five in favor (Lollini, Lawrence, Miller, Mendenhall & Aldenderfer); one opposed (Alley); and four abstentions (White, Heit, Nandkishore & Kelley).

The second item regarding naming policy was the approval of “Social Sciences & Management” as the building name (rather than “Social Sciences & Management Building”). Dean Aldenderfer moved to approve “Social Sciences & Management” as the building name; the motion was seconded by Lollini and passed unanimously.

**Bellevue Gateway Update**

Lollini presented an overview of the Bellevue Gateway development project as outlined in the material materials, noting that the campus has a plan to provide State-funded facilities to support a campus population of approximately 10,000 students but that we have made less progress in developing a feasible plan to deliver non-State funded facilities required to support that same population. Lollini noted the current development costs are expected to be approximately $300MM.

Lawrence expressed concern that the planning figures being used to examine the demand needs for non-State units were not the same numbers being used in unit-level planning. Lawrence also noted that the plan, as presented, did not adequately address student service needs.

Heit inquired as to the walkway access from the proposed new parking lots in the Bellevue Gateway district to the campus academic core. Lollini noted access will be provided via walkways constructed as part of planned infrastructure projects.

Capital Planning and Physical, Planning, Design and Construction staff met with UCOP on two occasions to share conceptually what would be included in the program for the Bellevue Gateway development project. UCOP confirmed interest in the project and recommended that a broad spectrum of funding mechanisms be included. UCOP requested that the campus generate a set of metrics by which the project could be evaluated, and suggested that the campus might want to entertain more measured growth. UCOP recommended a housing demand study.
and a project feasibility study once the program is better defined. UCOP also requested a future discussion regarding budget assumptions behind expenses associated with construction of additional administrative space, since administrative buildings typically do not generate their own revenue.

It was stated that Student Affairs would continue to work closely with the Institutional Planning and Analysis office regarding tailoring of student enrollment projections and characteristics. This information will be shared with Capital Planning and PPD&C for the Bellevue Gateway planning efforts.

Lollini recommended that a working group be formed, outside of CPPC, to continue discussion regarding the program and feasibility of the Bellevue Gateway development.

**Student Garden**

Principal Planner Cummings updated the committee on the status of the Student Garden proposal as outlined in the meeting materials.

Lollini inquired as to the soil source. Cummings noted that the students are working with master gardeners and expect to have materials donated in support of the project.

Nies expressed some concerns regarding maintenance of the gardens given the state of the current campus herb garden.

**Annual Update to Capital Financial Plan**

Assistant Vice Chancellor White discussed the annual update to the Ten Year Capital Financial Plan (CFP). White updated the group regarding the proposed changes in the CFP as indicated in the meeting materials. White specifically noted that: the campus’ five-year State allocation target was expected to be approximately $130MM; that, although Science & Engineering 2 construction funds had been approved, no bonds had yet been sold to fund the project; and that, given the current funding delays, the Site Development and Infrastructure 5 & 7 projects had been combined to a single project.

Alley noted that UCOP continues to be in discussions with the State to secure a reimbursement agreement whereby UC could secure funding for high-priority capital projects, such as Science & Engineering 2, in order to keep the projects on schedule.

Aldenderfer expressed concern that the Instruction and Research 1A & 1B facilities had too little planned classroom space, particularly given the campus’ statements of intent to shift to a more social science and humanities based student population. White responded that the proposed program was open to change and that the campus will continue to examine the appropriate programmatic breakdown of spaces within the proposed facilities and in relation to CPEC adequacy.

The meeting was adjourned at 3:00pm.

Minutes submitted by: Brian Gresham, Assistant Director, Capital Planning & Space Management